

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/627,198	BROWN, DANIEL BOYD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie A. Royds	1614	

All Participants:

(1) Leslie A. Royds.

(2) Karen Cochran.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 September 2005

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

39-143.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that although the statutory double patenting rejection has been withdrawn in light of the amendments, an obviousness-type double patenting rejection could be made over USPN 6,599,897. Applicant agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer over USPN 6,599,897.

Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment on Tuesday, September 27, 2005.